

Video Understanding

Francois BREMOND

Orion team, INRIA Sophia Antipolis FRANCE

Key words: Artificial intelligence, knowledge-based systems, cognitive vision, image understanding, human behavior representation, scenario recognition

Video Understanding

Definition:

- real time and automated analysis of video sequences
- video understanding= from people detection and tracking to behavior recognition

Recognition of complex behaviors: of individuals (fraud, graffiti, vandalism, bank attack) of small groups (fighting) of crowds (overcrowding) interactions of people and vehicles (aircraft refueling)



Video Understanding Platform

Interpretation of the videos from pixels to alarms





Video Understanding Application

Applications:

- Strong impact for visual surveillance in transportation (metro station, vehicle traffic, trains, airports)
- Bank agency monitoring
- Control access and Video surveillance in building
- Video understanding for video communication: Mediaspace
- Sports monitoring : swimming pool Surveillance
- New application domains : Aware House, Health (maintaining elderly people at home), Teaching,...



Video Understanding Application



Metro station surveillance



Building access control



Surveillance inside trains



Airport monitoring



Video Understanding: State of the Art

Intelligent Sensors: Acqusition (dedicated hardware), thermic, omni-directional, PTZ, cmos, IP, tri CCD.

Networking : scalable compression, secure transmission and storage.

Computer Vision: Mobile object detection (Wei Yun I2R Singapore), Tracking of people using geometric approaches (T. Ellis Kingston University UK)

Event Recognition: Probabilistic approaches HMM, DBN (A Bobick Georgia Tech USA, H Buxton Univ Sussex UK)

Reusable platform: Realtime video surveillance platform (Multitel, Be), Machine learning

Visualisation: 3D animation, ergonomic, Video abstraction, annotation



Video Understanding: Issues

- Robustness of (vision) algorithms
- Bridging the gaps at different abstraction levels:
 - From sensors to image processing
 - From image processing to 4D analysis
 - From 4D analysis to semantic
- Uncertainty:
 - uncertainty management of noisy data (missing, incomplete, corrupted)
 - formalization of the expertise (fuzzy, incoherent, implicit knowledge)
- Independence of the models/methods versus:
 - sensors and low level preprocessing
 - dedicated applications
 - several spatio-temporal scales

Video Understanding: Issues

- No reliable product on the market
 Solution: adjusting performance to requirement
 First products: traffic control (OCR) and abandoned baggage
- My position: Intelligent Reusable Systems for Cognitive Vision

Intelligent: explicit knowledge, reasoning and learning capabilities Reusable Systems: different levels of reuse Cognitive Vision: 4D *analysis beyond structural vision (semantics)*

Multidisciplinary theme: artificial intelligence, software engineering, computer vision





Introduction on Video Understanding

- Knowledge Representation
- People detection and tracking
- Scenario representation
- Scenario recognition
- Results and conclusion



Knowledge Representation





Knowledge Representation: 3D Scene Model

Definition : a priori knowledge of the observed empty scene

- Cameras: 3D position of the sensor, calibration matrix, field of view,...
- 3D Geometry of physical objects (bench, trash, door, walls) and interesting zones (entrance zone) with position, shape and volume
- Semantic information : type (object, zone), characteristics (yellow, fragile) and its function (seat)

Role:

- to keep the interpretation independent from the sensors and the sites : many sensors, one 3D referential
- to provide additional knowledge for behavior recognition



Knowledge Representation: 3D Scene Model

Barcelona Metro Station Sagrada Famiglia mezzanine (cameras C10, C11 and C12)





Knowledge Representation : 3D Scene Model

3d Model of 2 bank agencies

Les Hauts de Lagny



Villeparisis





Difference between the current image and a reference image (computed) of the empty scene





Approach: Group the moving regions together to obtain a bigger moving region matching a mobile object model



Classification into more than 8 classes (e.g. Person, Groupe, Train) based on 2D and 3D descriptors (position, width and height)

Example of 4 classes: Person, Group, Noise, Unknown



Approach: A Computation of correspondences between the moving regions newly detected at t and the moving regions already detected at t-1 using three criteria (2D and 3D distance and similitude).

At time t-1:

At time t:





Frame to frame tracking: For each image all newly detected moving regions are associated to the old ones through a graph







Limitations : - Mixed of individuals in difficult situations (e.g. static and dynamic occlusion, long crossing)



Goal : To track globaly people over a long time period

Method: Analysing of the mobile object graph

Group model, Model of trajectories of people inside a group, use of time delay







Limitations : - Imperfect estimation of the group size and location when there are shadows or reflections strongly contrasted.
 - Imperfect estimation of the number of persons in the group when the persons are occluded, overlapping each others or in case of miss detection.









We define several entities:

- Context object: predefined static object of the scene environment (entrance zone, bench, walls, equipment,...).
- Moving region: any intensity change between a reference and the current images.
- Mobile object: any moving region which has been tracked and classified (e.g. person, group of persons, part of human body, door).
- Mobile object Property on one or several mobile objects





Issues: large variety of actions and scenarios

- more or less abstract (running/fighting).
- general (standing)/sensor and application (sit down) dependent.
- spatial granularity: the view observed by one camera/the whole site.
- temporal granularity: instantaneous/long term.
- 3 levels of complexity depending on the complexity of temporal relations and on the number of actors:
 - non-temporal constraint relative to one actor (being seated).
 - temporal sequence of sub-scenarios relative to one actor (open the door, go toward the chair then sit down).
 - complex temporal constraints relative to several actors (A meets B at the coffee machine then C gets up and leaves).



Video events (real world notion):

Primitive State: a spatio-temporal property linked to vision routines involving one or several actors, valid at a given time point or stable on a *time interval* (a coherent unit of motion of a mobile object). *Ex : « close», « walking», « seated»*

Composite State: a combination of primitive states

Primitive Event: a significant change of states

Ex : « enters», « stands up», « leaves »

Composite Event: a combination of states and events.
 Corresponds to a long term (symbolic, application dependent) activity. Ex : « fighting», « vandalism»



Primitive State



Scenario (algorithmic notion): any type of video events

- Two types of scenarios: elementary and composed.
- Elementary scenario: (primitive state) spatiotemporal property valid at a given instant or stable on a time interval. Example: "Inside_zone".
- Composed scenario is a complex video event valid at a given instant or stable on a time interval. Example: "Bank_attack".



- Video Event Ontology: a set of concepts and relation is used as a reference between all the actors of the domain to describe knowledge
- Enable experts to describe video events, (e.g. primitive state, composite event): ontology of the application domain.
- Share knowledge between developers: ontology of visual concepts (e.g. a stopped mobile object)
- Ease communication between developers and end users and enable performance evaluation: ontology of the video understanding process (what should be detected: mobile object (a parked car), object of interest (a door), visible object (occluded person))
- Architecture interoperability: separation between system interface and knowledge description







Scenario Recognition

A scenario is mainly constituted of three parts :

- Physical objects: all real world objects present in the scene observed by the cameras
 - Mobile objects, contextual objects, zones of interest
- Components: list of states and events involved in the scenario
- Constraints: symbolic, logical, spatio-temporal relations between components or physical objects



Example: "inside_zone" & "changes_zone" scenario models

primitive-state(inside_zone,
 physical-objects((p : Person), (z : Zone))
 constraints((p in z)))

primitive-event(changes_zone, physical-objects((p : Person), (z1 : Zone), (z2 : Zone)) components((e1 : primitive-state inside_zone(p, z1)) (e2 : primitive-state inside_zone(p, z2))) constraints((e1 before e2)))



Scenario Representation A "Bank attack" scenario instance (4) Both of them arrive at the safe door

Example: a "Bank_Attack" scenario model

composite-event(*Bank_attack*,

physical-objects((employee : Person), (robber : Person))
components(

- (e1 : primitive-state *inside_zone*(employee, "Back"))
- (e2 : primitive-event *changes_zone*(robber, "Entrance", "Infront"))
- (e3 : primitive-state *inside_zone*(employee, "Safe"))

(e4 : primitive-state *inside_zone*(robber, "Safe")))

constraints((e2 during e1)

(e2 **before** e3)

(e1 **before** e3)

(e2 **before** e4)

(e4 during e3))

alert("Bank attack!!!"))



File Export Help	nario Repre	esentatio	on	
😂 🔡 🧕				
Composite States & Event	Primitive States & Events Rel	ations Physical Objects		
≻ 🗂 Events └── 🖺 Bank_attack	CompositiveEvent 🔻	Bank_attack	Physical Objec commercial attacker	Person Person
	Component			AED
	commercial_at_branch	inside	, commer	cial, "Back_Branch"
	commercial at safe	inside	, attacker	, MMTONL_Branch, . rial "Safo"
	attacker_at_safe	inside	, attacker	; "Safe"
	Constraint			AED
	commercial at branch	before	commerc	ial at safe
	attacker_enters	before	attacker_	at_safe
	attacker_at_safe	during	commerc	ial_at_safe
	Comment			



Scenario Recognition





Scenario Recognition Overview of Recognition Process

Scenario recognition algorithm at each instant:

- 1. Recognize the elementary scenario models \rightarrow store scenario instances and trigger the recognition of composed scenario models.
- 2. Recognize the composed scenario models \rightarrow store scenario instances and trigger the recognition of other composed scenario models.



Scenario Recognition: Elementary Scenario

- The recognition of a compiled elementary scenario model m_e consists of a loop:
 - Choosing a physical object for each physical-object variable
 Verifying all constraints linked to this variable
 m_e is recognized if all the physical-object variables are assigned a value and all the linked constraints are satisfied.





Problem: Temporal Constraint Resolution

given a scenario model $m_c = (m_1 \text{ before } m_2 \text{ before } m_3)$; if a scenario instance ρ_3 of m_3 has been recognized \rightarrow it makes sense to try to recognize the main scenario model m_c . However, the classical algorithms will try all combinations of scenario instances of m_1 and of m_2 with $\rho_3 \rightarrow$ a combinatorial explosion.

Solution: decompose the composed scenario models into simpler scenario models in an initial stage (compilation of composed scenario models) such as each composed scenario model is composed of two components.



Example: original "Bank_attack" scenario model

composite-event(*Bank_attack*,

physical-objects((employee : Person), (robber : Person))
components(

- (1) (e1 : primitive-state *inside_zone*(employee, "Back"))
- (2) (e2 : primitive-event *changes_zone*(robber, "Entrance", "Infront"))
- (3) (e3 : primitive-state *inside_zone*(employee, "Safe"))

(4) (e4 : primitive-state *inside_zone*(robber, "Safe")))

constraints((e2 during e1)

(e2 **before** e3)

(e1 **before** e3)

(e2 *before* e4)

(e4 *during* e3))

alert("Bank attack!!!"))



Compilation: Original scenario model is decomposed into 3 new scenarios

composite-event(Bank_attack_1, physical-objects((employee : Person), (robber : Person)) components((1) (e1 : primitive-state inside_zone(employee, "Back")) (2) (e2 : primitive-event changes_zone(robber, "Entrance", "Infront")) constraints((e1 during e2))) composite-event(Bank_attack_2, physical-objects((employee : Person), (robber : Person)) components((1) (e3 : primitive-state inside_zone(employee, "Safe")) (4) (e4 : primitive-state inside_zone(robber, "Safe")) (5) (e3 during e4))) composite-event(Bank_attack_3, physical-objects((employee : Person), (robber : Person)) constraints((e3 during e4))) composite-event(Bank_attack_3, physical-objects((employee : Person), (robber : Person)) components((att 1 : composite-event Bank_attack_4 (employee, robber)))

(att_1 : composite-event Bank_attack_1(employee, robber))
(att_2 : composite-event Bank_attack_2(employee, robber)))
constraints(((termination of att_1) before (start of att_2)))

alert("Bank attack!!!")



- A compiled scenario model m_c is composed of two components: start and termination.
- To start the recognition of m_c, its termination needs to be already instantiated.
- The recognition of a compiled scenario model m_c consists of a loop:
 - 1. Choosing a scenario instance for the *start* of m_{cr}
 - 2. Verifying the temporal constraints of $m_{\rm c}$,
 - 3. Instantiating the physical-objects of m_c with physicalobjects of the *start* and of the *termination* of m_c ,
 - 4. Verifying the non-temporal constraints of $m_{\rm c}$.
 - 5. Verifying forbidden constraints.



Scenario recognition: capacity of prediction

- Issue: in the bank monitoring application, an alert "Bank attack!!!" is triggered when a scenario "Bank_attack" is recognized. However, it can be too late for security agents to cope with the situation.
- Requirement: is the temporal scenario recognition method able to predict scenarios that may occur in the future?
- Answer: YES, the recognition algorithm can predict scenarios that may occur by adding automatically alerts (during the compilation) to some generated scenario models. This task can be specified in scenario models (precursor events).



Scenario recognition : uncertainty

Temporal tolerance

- Issue: several scenario models are defined with too strong temporal constraints ⇒ they cannot be recognized with real videos.
- Solution: we defined a temporal tolerance Δ_t as an integer, then all temporal comparisons are estimated using an approximation of Δ_t.

Incorrect mobile object tracking

- Issue: the vision algorithms may loose the track of several detected mobile objects ⇒ the system cannot recognize correctly scenario occurrences in several videos.
- Solution: experts describe different scenario models representing various situations corresponding to several combinations of physical objects.



Scenario recognition : learning

Several types of techniques to learn additive knowledge:

- At a scenario level:
 - the parameters to tune specific recognition routines
 - the best recognition routines (e.g. classifier structure...)
 - the most relevant features (e.g. contours) to support efficient recognition dedicated to a given property, state, event or scenario
- <u>At the system level</u>:
 - to get an automatic set-up (calibration, reference image, 3D scene model)
 - to choose dynamically the most appropriate routines
 - to learn regular temporal patterns corresponding to scenarios

Issues:

- building learning/test sets and ground truth
 need of ontology for the learning/test sets



Scenario Recognition: Results



Scenario recognition: Results

The experts of four projects in video interpretation (CASSIOPEE -bank monitoring-, ADVISOR -metro surveillance-, AVITRACK apron monitoring- and SAMSIT -inside train surveillance-) have used the Orion system in different sites to realize three types of tests.

- on recorded videos: to verify whether the recognition algorithm can recognize sufficiently scenario occurrences.
- on live videos: to verify whether the recognition algorithm can work in a longtime interval.
- on recorded/simulated videos: to estimate the processing time of the recognition algorithm.



Scenario recognition: Results Results in bank agency







Scenario recognition: Results Results in bank agency

People Counting scenario



Scenario recognition: Results

Vandalism scenario example (temporal constraints) :

Scenario(vandalism_against_ticket_machine, Physical_objects((p : Person), (eq : Equipment, Name="Ticket_Machine")) Components ((event s1: p moves_close_to eq) (state s2: p stays_at eq) (event s3: p moves_away_from eq) (event s4: p moves_close_to eq) (state s5: p stays_at eq)) Constraints ((s1 != s4) (s2 != s5) (s1 before s2) (s2 before s3) (s3 before s4) (s4 before s5))))



Scenario Recognition: Results Vandalism in metro (Nuremberg)





Scenario recognition: Results Example: a "Vandalism against a ticket machine" scenario





Scenario recognition: Results Example: a "Aircraft Tanker" event





Scenario recognition: Results Example: "Aircraft GPU / Loader" event









Scenario recognition: Results Example: a "Disturbing people in a train" scenario





Scenario recognition: Results Experiment 2: live-videos

- 6 sites: 2 bank agencies, two offices, a parking and a metro station.
- 40 original scenario models (before decomposition): "inside_zone", "Bank_attack", "Vandalism",...
- Results:
 - in a bank (5 days),
 - in an office (4h),
 - one week in a metro station of Barcelona,
 - in a parking (1 day)
 - the scenarios were most of the time (95%) correctly recognized (as in the first experiment) → the recognition algorithm can work reliably and robustly in real-time and in a continuous mode.



Scenario recognition: Results Experiment 3: checking the processing time

60 scenario models defined with 2 to 10 physical object variables and 2 to 10 components. The algorithms are tested on simulated videos containing up to 240 persons in the scene.



The composed scenario recognition algorithm is able to process up to 240 persons in the scene.



\$cenario Recognition: Conclusion



31 mai 2005 CDT 05

61

Conclusion

a global framework for video surveillance:

- Hypotheses:
 - fixed cameras
 - 3D model of the empty scene
 - predefined behavior models
- Results:
 - Behavior understanding for Individuals, Groups of people or Crowd
 - an operational language for video understanding (more than 50 states and events)
 - a real-time platform (5 to 25 frames/s)



Conclusion: issues

Knowledge Acquisition

- Design of ontology driven knowledge acquisition:
 - video event ontology
- Design of learning techniques to complement a priori knowledge:
 - visual concept learning
 - scenario model learning

Video event detection

- Finer human shape description: *3D posture models*
- Video analysis robustness: Uncertainty management

Reusability is still an issue for vision programs

- The vision module cannot always cope with the real world complexity
- Use of program supervision techniques: dynamic configuration of programs and parameters
- Scaling issue: managing large network of heterogeneous sensors (cameras, microphones, optical cells, radars....)





Video Understanding

Francois BREMOND

Orion team, INRIA Sophia Antipolis, FRANCE http://www-sop.inria.fr/orion/

Key words: Artificial intelligence, knowledge-based systems, cognitive vision, image understanding, human behavior representation, scenario recognition